1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT 9 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CAUSE NO. 13-35243 12 Plaintiff - Appellee, MOTION TO UNSEAL FILE 13 INDEX NEWSPAPERS LLC, DBA The Stranger, 14 Intervenor - Appellant, 15 16 v. MATTHEW DURAN, 17 Defendant - Appellee. 18 19 **Identity of Moving Party** 1. 20 Index Newspapers LLC, dba The Stranger, the Appellant, by and through 21 its attorney, Neil M. Fox, seeks the relief set out in section 2, infra. 22 **Statement of Relief Sought** 23 2. The Stranger seeks an order by this Court to unseal the file in this case. 24 This motion is brought under Circuit Rule 27-13(d). 25 26 27 28 Law Office of Neil Fox, PLLC MOTION TO UNSEAL FILE - Page 1 Market Place One, Suite 330

Case: 13-35243

01/02/2014

ID: 8922271 DktEntry: 38

Page: 1 of 4

2003 Western Avenue Seattle, Washington 98121 206-728-5440 Case: 13-35243 01/02/2014 ID: 8922271 DktEntry: 38 Page: 2 of 4

3. <u>Factual Background</u>

This case involves the appeal of an order denying a motion to unseal a district court file in *In re Matthew Duran*, Western Washington District No. No.12-GJ-00149. The appellant, Index Newspapers LLC, also filed a parallel writ of mandamus petition in *Index Newspapers*, *LLC v. United States District Court for the Western District of Washington*, No. 13-71021. Both cases have been set for oral argument on February 5, 2014.

The file for the writ case (No. 13-71021) is open to the public and accessible through PACER. The file for the appeal case is sealed and not accessible. Most of the documents that have been filed in the sealed appeal case have been filed in the unsealed writ case.¹

Previously, Index Newspapers filed a motion in the appeal case to unseal the docket from the district court and file it in the writ case. By order entered on August 2, 2013, Commissioner Shaw denied this motion without prejudice.

4. Argument

Index Newspapers LLC has argued, in both this appeal and in the parallel writ case, that the proceedings below in this case should be unsealed under the First Amendment and the common law. The file in this appeal, however, remains sealed, while the file in the writ case is open to the public.

The same First Amendment and common law right of public access apply to this appeal as to the district court file. For the same reasons the district court file should be unsealed, this Court should unseal the file in this appeal as well. Given the fact that parallel writ case is unsealed, there is even less of a reason to keep the appeal hidden from public viewing.

¹ Mr. Duran has filed, under seal, unredacted transcripts from his district court appearances in both the writ and appeal cases, No. 13-71021, Appendices H & I; No. 13-35243, ER (Duran) 104-180. Index has filed redacted copies of these transcripts in the writ case. No. 13-71021, Dkt. No. 21.

Case: 13-35243 01/02/2014 ID: 8922271 DktEntry: 38 Page: 3 of 4

Accordingly, when this Court decides the appeal and the writ, the Court should at the same time enter an order unsealing the file in the instant case, under Circuit Rule 27-13(d), so that the public has full access to the litigation over whether the district court's files were properly sealed. The Government should be allowed to propose redactions to the sealed transcripts filed by Mr. Duran.

5.. Conclusion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This Court should unseal the file in this case for the same reasons it should unseal the district court file. The ruling on this motion should be deferred until ruling on the appeal itself.

Dated this 2nd day of January 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Neil M. Fox WSBA NO. 15277 Attorney for Index Newspapers LLC Law Office of Neil Fox, PLLC 2003 Western Ave. Suite 330 Seattle WA 98121

Telephone: 206-728-5440 Fax: 206-448-2252

e-mail: <u>nf@neilfoxlaw.com</u>

2728

Case: 13-35243 01/02/2014 ID: 8922271 DktEntry: 38 Page: 4 of 4

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

2728

MOTION TO UNSEAL FILE - Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 2nd of January 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to attorney of record for all parties.

I certify or declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, this 2nd day of January 2014 at Seattle WA.

/s/Neil M. Fox
NEIL M. FOX
WSBA NO. 15277
Attorney for Petitioner
Law Office of Neil Fox, PLLC
2003 Western Ave. Suite 330
Seattle WA 98121
Telephone: 206-728-5440
Fax: 206-448-2252
e-mail: nf@neilfoxlaw.com

I also certify that on the 2^{nd} day of January 2014 I deposited copies of the foregoing into the United States Mail in envelope, with proper first postage attached, addressed to:

Judge Richard Jones United States District Court for the Western District of Washington 700 Stewart St., Suite 13128 Seattle, WA, 98101

I certify or declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, this 2nd day of January 2014 at Seattle WA.

/s/ Alex Fast Legal Assistant for Neil M. Fox

> Law Office of Neil Fox, PLLC Market Place One, Suite 330 2003 Western Avenue Seattle, Washington 98121 206-728-5440